

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/717,929	JOSAN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Scott T Baderman	2113	

All Participants:

(1) Scott T Baderman.

(2) Nathan Cass (Reg. # 18,931).

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 29 September 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims 1-14 under USC 102(e) in view of Vert et al. (2001/0008019).

Claims discussed:

1, 2, 8 and 9

Prior art documents discussed:

Vert et al. (2001/0008019)

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The Examiner proposed that claims 1 and 8 be amended to include the limitations of claims 2 and 9, respectively, in order to put the application in condition for allowance. Mr. Cass approved this amendment.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)